

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the above-identified patent application is respectfully requested in view of the previous amendments and the following remarks.

Claims 1-11 and 110-140 are now in this case. Claims 1 and 110 have been amended.

Paragraph 1 of the specification has been amended to include the serial numbers of the provisional applications already identified in the specification.

All of the pending claims were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of the combination of *Chiraz*, *Littlefield*, *MacInnis* and *Bright*. Claim 1, as amended, requires "means for transmitting the entire combined frame image data to a single display" such that the single display displays the entire combined frame image data on its image area. While *Chiraz* discloses producing an image to cover an image area of a display, it does not disclose transmitting the entire combined frame image data to a single display so that an image can be generated on a single display. Instead, *Chiraz* is directed to distributing "image data corresponding to a standard display resolution across multiple standard display devices." See, *Chiraz* at col. 5, lns. 49-52. (emphasis added).

Further, none of the other cited references, including *Littlefield*, *MacInnis* and *Bright*, disclose transmitting the entire combined frame image data to a single display, as required by the amended claim 1. Accordingly, none of the cited references, alone or in combination, teach or suggest the invention of the amended claim 1, and allowance of claim 1 is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 2-11 all depend either directly or indirectly from claim 1 and therefore incorporate each limitation of claim 1. Accordingly, allowance of claims 2-11 is also respectfully requested.

Claim 110 is a method counterpart to claim 1. Claim 110 has also been amended, and now requires, among other steps, the steps of transmitting the entire combined frame image data to a single display and of displaying the entire combined frame image data on the single display. As previously described, none of the cited references, alone or in combination, teach or suggest transmitting the entire combined frame image data to a single display, as required by the amended claim 110. Accordingly, allowance of claim 110 is respectfully requested.

Claims 111-140 all depend, either directly or indirectly, from claim 110 and therefore incorporate each limitation of claim 110. Accordingly, allowance of claims 111-140 is respectfully requested.

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the outstanding rejection of the claims and to pass this application to issue.

If, however, for any reason the Examiner does not believe that such action can be taken at this time, it is respectfully requested that he/she telephone applicant's attorney at (908) 654-5000 in order to overcome any additional objections which he might have.

If there are any additional charges in connection with this requested amendment, the Examiner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 therefor.

Dated: April 28, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Glen M. Diehl

Registration No.: 33,377

LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,

KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP

600 South Avenue West

Westfield, New Jersey 07090

(908) 654-5000

Attorney for Applicant